Mayleen Cortez-Rodriguez<sup>1</sup> **Cornell University** 

## The Problem

- Company runs experiment to estimate value of ad campaig
- Total Treatment Effect (TTE) measures the average change consumer behavior when everyone versus no one sees the



- Interference: Word-of-mouth spreads ad's message to oth
- Interference violates SUTVA, biasing classic estimators
- Interference structure may be unknown

## Formalizing the Problem

Population  $[n] := \{1, \ldots, n\}$ 

Treatments  $\mathbf{z} \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

Outcomes  $Y_i(\mathbf{z}): \{0,1\}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ 

**Neighborhood Interference:**  $Y_i(\mathbf{z})$  depends only on treatment i's neighbors  $\mathcal{N}_i$  w.r.t. (unknown) interference graph,  $d = \max_i$ 

 $\beta$ -Order Interactions: Only small subsets of treated neighbor affect *i*'s outcome

$$Y_{i}(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_{i} \\ |\mathcal{S}| \leq \beta}} c_{i,\mathcal{S}} \prod_{j \in \mathcal{S}} z_{j} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{TTE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 1 \leq |\mathcal{S}| \leq \beta}} c_{i,\mathcal{S}}$$

## Past Approach [1]: Bernoulli Rollout Design

- $F(p) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{z}} \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(\mathbf{z}) \right|$  is  $\beta$ -degree polynomial
- Staggered rollout design gives  $\beta+1$  samples of F
- Estimate TTE = F(1) F(0) with Lagrange interpolation



This estimator:

- ✓ Is unbiased
- ✓ Does not require knowledge of the interference network
- Outperforms baseline estimators
- $\checkmark$  Has high variance when  $\beta > 1$ , p small due to extrapolation

# **Clustered Rollout Designs for Causal Inference with Network Interference**

<sup>1</sup>Center for Applied Mathematics

Matthew Eichhorn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>2</sup>Operations Research and Information Engineering

	<b>Research Objective</b>
JN	Develop an experimental design/estimator that:
e in e ad	<ul> <li>Requires no knowledge of the interference network</li> <li>Has improved performance over [1] when β &gt; 1 and treatment budget p is small</li> <li>Can use network knowledge to improve performance</li> </ul>
	Two-Stage Clustered Rollout Design
	<b>Idea:</b> Run a rollout experiment on only a subpopulation, where have the budget to treat a greater proportion $q > p$ of individed as the budget to treat a greater proportion $q > p$ of a subpopulation.
iers	<b>Stage 1:</b> Cluster the network. Include clusters in the experimentation of the two the second states of the two the t
	<b>Stage 2:</b> Do rollout experiment on $\mathcal{U}$ w/ max treatment fraction
	Stage 1Stage 2 $\mathcal{U}$ $[n] \setminus \mathcal{U}$
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
	() = selected cluster = unselected cluster = treatment = control
its of $_i \left  \mathcal{N}_i  ight $ ors	<b>2-Stage Estimator:</b> $\widehat{\text{TTE}} := \frac{q}{np} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{t=0}^{\beta} \left( \ell_t(1) - \ell_t(0) \right) \cdot Y_i(\mathbf{z}^t),  \ell_t(x) = \prod_{\substack{s=0\\s \neq t}}^{\beta} \frac{\beta x - qs}{qt - qs}$
	Deufeurope of the Two Ctops Fatimentor
	Performance of the Two-Stage Estimator
	These plots visualize the MSE (black line) of the Two-Stage mator on a Stochastic Block Model network for three values
1	$\beta = 1$ $\beta = 1$ $\beta = 2$ $\beta = 3$ $\beta = $
	Shading distinguishes three components of the MSE.
	(Squared) Bias: $\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\mathrm{TTE}}\right] - \mathrm{TTE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\mathcal{S} \in \mathcal{S}_{i}^{\beta} \setminus \varnothing} c_{i,\mathcal{S}}\left[\left(\frac{p}{q}\right)^{ \Pi(\mathcal{S}) -1}\right]$
	Sampling Variance: $\operatorname{Var}\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\widehat{\mathrm{TTE}}\right]\right) = O\left(q \cdot \max_{\pi \in \Pi}  \pi  \cdot \frac{d^2}{np}\right)$
	Extrapolation Variance: $\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{U}}\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\widehat{\mathrm{TTE}}\right)\right] = O\left(\frac{1}{q^{2(\beta-1)}} \cdot \frac{d^2\beta^{2(\beta+1)}}{np^2}\right)$
	Main Takeaways:
-k	<ul> <li>When β = 1, 2-stage estimator is unbiased, clustering increases (sampling) variance</li> <li>When β &gt; 1, bias and sampling variance increases clowly we have a sampling variance increases of a sampling variance increa</li></ul>
Hon	q, while extrapolation variance sharply decreases with $q$

• Two-stage design can significantly reduce MSE

Christina Lee Yu<sup>2</sup>

## **Experimental Results**

#### Network:

- Dataset [3] of n = 19,828 Amazon DVD product listings
- Directed edges from each DVD to five frequent co-purchases  $(1 \le |\mathcal{N}_i| \le 247)$
- Each DVD has subset of  $\approx 13$  out of 13,591 category labels (genre, actors, setting, etc.)

#### **Potential Outcomes:**

• Model from [4], generalized to  $\beta$ -order interactions:

$$Y_{i}(\mathbf{z}) = Y_{i}(\mathbf{0}) \cdot \left(1 + \delta z_{i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\beta} \gamma_{k} \cdot \left(\frac{d_{i}}{k}\right)^{-1} \right)$$
$$Y_{i}(\mathbf{0}) = \left(a + b \cdot h_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}\right) \cdot \frac{d_{i}}{\overline{d}}$$

• Incorporates homophily  $(h_i)$  & degree  $(d_i)$  correlated responses

#### **Estimators**:

Estimator 2-Stage Interpolation Bernoulli Interpolation Difference in Means Thresholded DM Hájek

Unbiased No Yes No No No



## ator

-Stage Estivalues of  $\beta$ .



 $_{J} |\Pi(\mathcal{S})| - 1 - 1$ 

lowly with vith q



## **Future/Ongoing Work**

- Incorporating time-varying dynamics
- Analyzing model misspecification
- Understanding value of additional measurements in the rollout

#### References

- [1] Mayleen Cortez, Matthew Eichhorn, and Christina Lee Yu. Staggered rollout designs enable causal inference under interference without network knowledge. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 35:7437–7449, 2022.
- [2] Mayleen Cortez-Rodriguez, Matthew Eichhorn, and Christina Lee Yu. Combining rollout designs and clustering for causal inference under low-order interference. 2024.
- [3] Jure Leskovec, Lada A Adamic, and Bernardo A Huberman. The dynamics of viral marketing. ACM Transactions on the Web (TWEB) 1(1):5-es, 2007.
- [4] Johan Ugander and Hao Yin. Randomized graph cluster randomization. Journal of Causal Inference, 11(1):20220014, 2023

## gn

i, where we individuals.

experimental

It fraction q.







